Antiferromagnetic transitions of Dirac fermions in three dimensions
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We use determinant quantum Monte Carlo simulations to study the role of electron-electron interactions on three-dimensional (3D) Dirac fermions based on the \( \pi \)-flux model on a cubic lattice. We show that the Hubbard interaction drives the 3D Dirac semimetal to an antiferromagnetic (AF) insulator only above a finite critical interaction strength and the long-range AF order persists up to a finite temperature. We evaluate the critical interaction strength and temperatures using finite-size scaling of the spin structure factor. The critical behaviors are consistent with the \((3+1)\)-dimensional Gross-Neveu universality class for the quantum critical point and 3D Heisenberg universality class for the thermal phase transitions. We further investigate correlation effects in the birefringent Dirac fermion system. It is found that the critical interaction strength \( U_c \) is decreased by reducing the velocity of the Dirac cone, quantifying the effect of velocity on the critical interaction strength in 3D Dirac fermion systems. Our findings unambiguously uncover correlation effects in 3D Dirac fermions and may be observed using ultracold atoms in an optical lattice.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Electrons propagating on the honeycomb lattice have a linear energy-momentum dispersion, analogous to that of the two-dimensional Dirac equation [1,2]. This novel state is called the Dirac semimetal and has attracted great interest. One aspect of the many studies is the interaction-driven quantum phase transition between the semimetal and various ordered phases. While the semimetal is robust to weak interactions due to the vanishing density of states, antiferromagnetic (AF) long-range order develops for strong Hubbard interactions [3]. There is no intermediate unconventional phase, such as a quantum spin liquid, between the semimetal and AF Mott insulator, and the phase transition is a direct and continuous one [4–8]. The physics is made even richer by the interaction-generated topological states in the extended Hubbard model [9]. Although the mean-field theory predicts a quantum anomalous Hall effect and a quantum spin Hall effect stabilized by the nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor interactions, unbiased numerical methods find no evidence of their existence and support trivial ordered phases [10,11]. Instead, the intriguing interaction-driven topological mechanism takes effect for a quadratic dispersion on the kagome and checkerboard lattices [12,13]. Another equally interesting quantum phase is topological superconductivity, which is believed to arise in the doped Hubbard model on a honeycomb lattice [14,15].

The quantum criticality of Dirac fermions has been extensively studied in recent literature. The critical behavior between the semimetal and various ordered phases is strongly affected by the gapless fermionic excitations, giving rise to a fermionic quantum critical point. The low-energy effective theory is the celebrated Gross-Neveu theory, which contains both a bosonic order parameter and Dirac fermions coupled by Yukawa-like terms [4,16–18]. Various universality classes are possible depending on the symmetry group of the order parameter and the number of fermion components. The \( N = 4 \) chiral Ising class has been investigated in terms of spinless fermions with nearest-neighbor repulsion on the honeycomb and \( \pi \)-flux square lattices [19–21]. Charge-density-wave transitions in the spinful Holstein model are verified to be in the
shows the DQMC results. We first evaluate the specific heat, and the mean-field phase diagram is mapped out. Section IV presents the mean-field calculations. The order parameter is determined self-consistently, with our computational methodology. Section III introduces the toy model on the cubic lattice, with rare \[ N \] = \[ 8 \] chiral Ising class [22–25]. The \( N = 8 \) chiral Heisenberg criticality of the Hubbard model on the honeycomb and \( \pi \)-flux lattices was studied by determinant quantum Monte Carlo (DQMC) recently [8,26,27]. The transition between the Dirac semimetal and Kekulé valence-bond solid belongs to the chiral XY class, whose critical exponents have been calculated using renormalization-group analysis and DQMC simulations [28–32]. Remarkably, the intriguing space-time supersymmetry, long sought in high-energy physics, has been found to emerge at the critical point between the semimetal and pair density wave [33].

Considering the rich properties of two-dimensional Dirac semimetals, it is natural to extend the study of correlation effects to three-dimensional (3D) Dirac fermions. The idea receives a further boost from the remarkable progress in the field of 3D topological semimetals [34]. Over the past few years, Dirac and Weyl fermions have been predicted and experimentally confirmed in a number of solid-state materials; prototypical examples include TaAs, \( \text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2 \), \( \text{Na}_3\text{Bi} \), etc. [35–39]. In Weyl fermions, while short-range interactions are perturbatively irrelevant, sufficiently strong interactions can induce a series of novel states, which can be axionic charge density waves [40–43], antiferromagnetism [44], spin density waves [45], chiral excitonic insulators [46], and superconductivity with finite-momentum Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov pairing [47–51]. In addition, novel correlation effects have been predicted, such as non-Fermi-liquid and anisotropic Coulomb screening in anisotropic and multi-Weyl semimetals [52–60].

Unlike Weyl fermions, 3D Dirac fermions are four-component complex spinors with the presence of both time-reversal and inversion symmetries. Since Dirac points have fourfold degeneracy, materials hosting them can be viewed as “3D graphene.” Three-dimensional Dirac semimetals can be realized at a quantum critical point in a normal-topological insulator transition [61]. They can also appear from band reversal and inversion symmetries. Since Dirac points have fourfold degeneracy, materials hosting them can be viewed as axionic charge density waves [40–43], antiferromagnetism [44], spin density waves [45], chiral excitonic insulators [46], and superconductivity with finite-momentum Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov pairing [47–51]. In addition, novel correlation effects have been predicted, such as non-Fermi-liquid and anisotropic Coulomb screening in anisotropic and multi-Weyl semimetals [52–60].

In this paper, we study correlation effects in 3D Dirac fermions based on a toy model on the cubic lattice, with \( \pi \) flux through the faces (known as the 3D \( \pi \)-flux model) [63–66]. For a specific choice of gauge, the model is composed of only positive and negative nearest-neighbor hopping terms. Unlike the usual 3D Dirac Hamiltonian, the current model is free of spin-orbit coupling and thus can be numerically simulated using the large-scale sign-problem-free quantum Monte Carlo method, providing a unique opportunity to exactly investigate the many-body physics in 3D Dirac fermions. In addition, 3D birefringent Dirac fermions can be realized by modulating the hoppings, which provides a platform to study the dependence of the AF critical interaction on the Fermi velocity [27,67–69].

This paper is organized as follows: Sec. II introduces the precise model we will investigate, along with our computational methodology. Section III presents the mean-field calculations. The order parameter is determined self-consistently, and the mean-field phase diagram is mapped out. Section IV shows the DQMC results. We first evaluate the specific heat, whose evolution with the interaction clearly reflects the underlying semimetal-AF insulator transition. Then we calculate the equal-time spin structure factor for various lattice sizes and temperatures. The critical interaction strength and temperatures are determined using finite-size scaling. We also present the result of 3D birefringent Dirac fermions to show the effect of the Fermi velocity on the critical interaction strength. Finally, we offer concluding remarks in Sec. V.

II. THE MODEL AND METHOD

We consider a Hamiltonian describing 3D Dirac fermions on a cubic lattice where each plaquette is threaded with half a flux quantum, \( \frac{1}{2} \Phi_0 = \hbar c/(2e) \),

\[
H_0 = \sum_{\langle ij \sigma \rangle} t_{ij} e^{i \phi_{ij}} c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma},
\]

where \( c_{j\sigma}^\dagger \) and \( c_{j\sigma} \) are the creation and annihilation operators at site \( j \) with spin \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \). The hopping amplitudes between the nearest-neighbor sites \( i \) and \( j \) are \( t_{ij} = t \), which we set to 1 as the unit of energy. \( \phi_{ij} \) is the Peierls phase arising from the magnetic flux \( \phi_{ij} = \frac{\Phi_0}{\Phi_0} \int_{S_{ij}} A \cdot d\mathbf{x} \), with \( A \) being the vector potential. A particular gauge choice is shown in Fig. 1, where the solid (dashed) line represents hopping with \( t (\pi - t) \).

For the case with uniform hopping, the lattice in Fig. 1 has a four-site unit cell. In reciprocal space, with the reduced Brillouin zone \( (|k_x|, |k_y|) \leq \pi/2, |k_z| \leq \pi \) the Hamiltonian can be written as

\[
H_0 = \sum_{k\sigma} \psi^\dagger_{k\sigma} \mathcal{H}_0(k) \psi_{k\sigma},
\]

![FIG. 1. The cubic lattice with each face threaded by a \( \pi \) flux. The solid (dashed) lines represent positive (negative) hoppings. The thick (thin) lines denote strong (weak) hopping amplitudes, which are parameterized as \( t_{\pm} = (1 \pm \alpha t) \) to generate two-species Dirac fermions. Here \( t_+ = 1 \) is set to fix the bandwidth.](image-url)
with \( \psi_{\text{k}\alpha} = (c_{A\alpha}, c_{B\alpha}, c_{C\alpha}, c_{D\alpha})^T \) and \( \mathcal{H}_0(\text{k}) \) being

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-2t \cos k_x & 0 & 2t \cos k_y & -2t \cos k_z \\
0 & -2t \cos k_x & 2t \cos k_y & 2t \cos k_z \\
2t \cos k_x & 2t \cos k_y & -2t \cos k_z & 0 \\
-2t \cos k_y & 2t \cos k_z & 0 & 2t \cos k_x \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The above matrix can be written compactly as

\[
\mathcal{H}(\text{k}) = -2t \cos k_x \tau_x I + 2t \cos k_y \tau_y I + 2t \cos k_z \tau_z \sigma_y,
\]

with \( \tau_x, \tau_z, \sigma_y \) being the Pauli matrices and \( I \) being the 2\times2 identity matrix. The energy spectrum is given by

\[
E_\text{k} = \pm 2t \sqrt{\cos^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y + \cos^2 k_z},
\]

and the noninteracting system is a 3D semimetal with two inequivalent Dirac points at \( \text{K}_{1,2} = (\pi/2, \pi/2, \pm \pi/2) \).

Manipulating \( t_{ij} \) with the pattern shown in Fig. 1, the unit cell is doubled along the \( z \) direction. The two Dirac points are folded to the same point \((\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/2)\) in the reduced Brillouin zone. The Hamiltonian in momentum space becomes

\[
H = \sum_{\text{k}\alpha} \Psi^\dagger_{\text{k}\alpha} \mathcal{H}(\text{k}) \Psi_{\text{k}\alpha},
\]

with

\[
\mathcal{H}(\text{k}) = \begin{pmatrix}
h_{11} & h_{12} \\
h_{12}^\dagger & h_{22}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
h_{11} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 2t_+ (k_y) & -2t_- (k_y) \\
0 & 0 & 2t_+ (k_y) & 2t_- (k_y) \\
2t_- (k_y) & 2t_+ (k_y) & 0 & 0 \\
-2t_- (k_y) & 2t_+ (k_y) & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
h_{12} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2t_- (k_z) & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
h_{22} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( t_{\pm} (k_i) = t_{\pm} \cos k_i (i = x, y, z) \). The basis is

\[
\Psi_{\text{k}\alpha} = (c_{A\alpha}, c_{B\alpha}, c_{C\alpha}, c_{D\alpha})^T.
\]

One easily obtains the energy spectrum,

\[
E_\text{k} = \pm 2t_\pm \sqrt{\cos^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y + \cos^2 k_z},
\]

which describes two-species 3D Dirac fermions with different velocities \( 2t_\pm \). In the above spectrum, the branch with \( t_+ \) is nondegenerate, and the one with \( t_- \) is threefold degenerate. In the rest of the paper, we let \( t_\pm = (1 \pm \alpha) t \) and take \( t_+ = 1 \) as the energy scale for the birefringent case. The energy spectra in Eq. (6) for different values of \( \alpha \) are plotted in Fig. 2, which clearly demonstrates the evolution of the velocity of 3D Dirac fermions with \( \alpha \).

We further consider the Hubbard interaction,

\[
H_U = \sum_i U \left( n_i^\uparrow - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_i^\downarrow - \frac{1}{2} \right),
\]

The total Hamiltonian \( H = H_0 + H_U \) can be solved numerically by means of the DQMC method \([70–73]\). In this approach, one decouples the on-site interaction term through the introduction of an auxiliary Hubbard-Stratonovich field (HSF). The fermions are integrated out analytically, and then the integral over the HSF is performed stochastically. The only errors are those associated with the statistical sampling, the finite spatial lattice, and inverse temperature discretization. All are well controlled in the sense that they can be systematically reduced as needed and further eliminated by appropriate extrapolations. The systems we studied have \( N = L \times L \times L \) sites with \( L \) up to 10. The temperatures accessed are down to \( T/\tau \sim 0.1 \). A Trotter discretization \( \Delta \tau = 0.1 \) is used, which is small enough that Trotter errors are comparable to the statistical uncertainty from the Monte Carlo sampling. Results represent averages of 10–20 independent Markov chains, each with several hundred sweeps depending on the temperature and lattice size.

### III. MEAN-FIELD THEORY

In the mean-field approximation, the interaction is decoupled as

\[
n_i^\uparrow n_i^\downarrow \approx \langle n_i^\uparrow \rangle \langle n_i^\downarrow \rangle - \langle n_i^\uparrow \rangle \langle n_i^\downarrow \rangle.
\]

At half filling, we consider AF order and write \( \langle n_i^\uparrow \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \pm m \), \( \langle n_i^\downarrow \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \mp m \) (whether a plus or minus sign is used depends on the sublattice). Then the four-fermion interaction term is decoupled as

\[
\sum_i n_i^\uparrow n_i^\downarrow \approx \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{i \in B} \{mn_i^\uparrow - mn_i^\downarrow \} + E_0,
\]

where the constant \( E_0 = \frac{1}{4}NU + NUm^2 \).

In momentum space with the eight-component basis \( \Psi_{\text{k}\alpha} \), the following term is added to the noninteracting Hamiltonian Eq. (1):

\[
H_m = \pm mU[\text{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1)],
\]
where \(+\) (−) is for the spin-up (spin-down) subsystem. The energy spectrum of the total Hamiltonian becomes

\[
E_{k}^{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{4t^2(c_{k} \pm c_{k}) + (U/m)^2},
\]

which is fourfold degenerate. The energy spectrum is the same for both spin subsystems. \(m\) is obtained by minimizing the free energy,

\[
F = -\frac{8}{\beta} \sum_{k} \ln(1 + e^{-\beta E_{k}^{\pm}}) + E_0,
\]

i.e., \(\frac{\partial F}{\partial m} = 0\), and the following self-consistent equation is obtained:

\[
1 = \frac{4U}{N} \sum_{k} \frac{\tanh(\beta E_{k}^{\pm})}{E_{k}^{\pm}}.
\]

For the case of birefringent Dirac fermions, the order parameters differ from each other in the unit cell, i.e., \(m_i\) (\(i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8\)); then the term decoupled from the Hubbard interaction is

\[
H'_m = \pm U [\text{diag}(-m_1, -m_2, m_3, m_4, -m_5, -m_6, m_7, m_8)].
\]

The energy spectrum of the total Hamiltonian \(H = H_0 + H'_m\) does not have a simple analytical expression. With the energy eigenvalues \(E_{k}^{(i)}\), calculated numerically, the self-consistent equations for \(m_i\) are as follows:

\[
m_i = -\frac{4}{NU} \sum_{k, \sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{8} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta E_{k}^{(i)}_{\sigma}}} \frac{\partial E_{k}^{(j)}_{\sigma}}{\partial m_i}.
\]

The determined order parameters are shown in Fig. 3. For zero temperature, \(m\) changes to a nonzero value at the critical interaction \(U_c\), marking the Dirac semimetal to AF insulator transition [see Fig. 3(a)], \(U_c\) is estimated to be about 4.3\(t\) for \(\alpha = 0\). Figure 3(b) shows the order parameter \(m\) as a function of temperature. \(m\) vanishes at a critical \(T_c\), determining the Néel temperature. Quantum fluctuations usually modify the mean-field values, which will be clarified in the following DQMC simulations.

For birefringent Dirac fermions, the velocity \(v_F = (1 - \alpha)/(1 + \alpha)\) of the outer Dirac cones can be continuously tuned by the ratio \(\alpha\), while the inner cone velocity fixes the bandwidth. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the critical interaction strength is continuously decreased to zero with \(\alpha\). In the \(\alpha = 1\) limit, the geometry is the perovskite lattice [74], where the outer cones become exactly flat, and long-range AF order exists for all \(U > 0\). The setup provides an ideal system to study the effect of the velocity on the AF critical interaction and verifies the velocity is the dominant parameter in determining the AF transition. In contrast, the Néel temperature increases as the velocity is decreased. It can be qualitatively understood that the AF order becomes more stabilized by decreasing the kinetic energy and thus more robust to thermal quantum fluctuations.

**IV. DQMC SIMULATIONS**

We first use DQMC to calculate the expectation value of the energy \(E = \langle H \rangle\). To evaluate the specific heat at finite temperature, the numerical data for \(E(T)\) are matched to the functional form

\[
E(T) = E(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_i e^{-\beta \Delta_i},
\]

where the parameters \(\epsilon_i, \Delta_i\) are found using the least-squares method. The single functional form in Eq. (15) has the correct low- and high-temperature limits \(C(T) \to 0 [75–77]\). As shown in Fig. 4(a), \(E\) is well fitted over a broad \(T\) region. The
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**FIG. 3.** The mean-field parameter \(m\) calculated self-consistently for several values of \(\alpha\) as a function of (a) interaction \(U\) at zero temperature and (b) temperature \(T\) at \(U/t = 10\). The critical interaction for the Dirac semimetal (\(\alpha = 0\)) to AF insulator transition is about \(U_c/t = 4.3\) at \(T = 0\). Although the order parameters differ from each other in the unit cell for the birefringent cases with \(\alpha \neq 0\), their transition points are the same, and we show only the order parameter on the \(A\) site, i.e., \(m_1\).
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**FIG. 4.** (a) The average energy per site vs temperature at half filling for several values of the interaction strength. Symbols are from DQMC simulations, and curves are fitting functions. (b) Specific heat from directly differentiating the fitting function. The lattice has \(N = L^3\) sites with \(L = 6\). The integer in the fitting function is \(M = 8\), which gives consistent results. Here \(\alpha = 0\), corresponding to one species of 3D Dirac fermion.
specific heat is calculated by the standard formula,
\[ C(T) = \frac{dE(T)}{dT}. \] (16)

In Fig. 4(b), there is an evolution from one- to two-peak structures as \( U \) is increased. In contrast, \( C(T) \) always has a two-peak structure for both weak and strong couplings on the normal cubic lattice [78,79]. The high-\( T \) peak is a “charge peak” at \( T \sim U \), which corresponds to the suppression of the double occupancy and the decrease of the potential energy. The low-\( T \) peak is due to the kinetic-energy decrease associated with the AF ordering, and thus, the location is proportional to the exchange coupling, i.e., \( T \sim J = \frac{4\omega^2}{\pi^2} \). The one-peak structure in the \( \pi \)-flux cubic lattice at small \( U \) reflects the fact that the ground state of the weakly interacting system lacks AF order and remains in the semimetal phase. Such an evolution with \( U \) thus reflects the underlying quantum phase transition from semimetal to AF order.

We then calculate the equal-time spin structure factor [71–73,80–82],
\[ S_{AF}(Q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} e^{iQ(r_i-r_j)} \langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle, \] (17)

where \( Q = (\pi, \pi, \pi) \) is the AF wave vector. The results on a finite lattice with \( N = 8^3 \) sites are shown in Fig. 5, where the temperature simulated reaches as low as \( T/t = 0.2 \). Figure 5(a) shows the spin structure factor at fixed temperatures as a function of \( U \). At high temperatures, \( S_{AF}(Q) \) remains almost zero for \( U \) up to 12\( t \), suggesting that no long-range order exists and the system is in the paramagnetic phase above the Neél temperature. Below the Neél temperature, there is a clear transition happening at finite \( U \), from which the spin structure factor begins to grow significantly. For the temperature \( T/t = 0.4 \), which is close to the Neél one at \( U/t = 10 \), there is a peak at \( U \sim 10t \), corresponding to the highest Neél temperature. We also plot \( S_{AF}(Q) \) for the normal cubic lattice at \( T/t = 0.2 \), whose curve is significant shifted toward the weakly interacting region [78,79,83]. This is expected since the usual half-filled Hubbard model on the simple cubic lattice has a perfectly nested Fermi surface and thus an AF instability for infinitesimal \( U \) [84]. Thus, by contrast with the normal cubic lattice, large enough interactions are needed to drive the AF transition for 3D Dirac fermions.

In Fig. 5(b), we show \( S_{AF}(Q) \) as a function of inverse temperature for fixed interaction strength. There is no change with decreasing temperature for weak interactions, consistent with a finite critical interaction. For large \( U \), \( S_{AF}(Q) \) starts to increase above \( \beta t \sim 20 \) and then saturates at finite values, indicating AF order develops below a critical temperature.

The finite-size DQMC data should be extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit, and generally, we have \( S_{AF}(Q)/N \approx S_0 + f(L) \), with \( f(L) \to 0 \) for \( L \to \infty \). In the large-\( U \) limit, the Hubbard model maps onto the spin-1/2 Heisenberg model on the cubic lattice. This model exhibits a finite-temperature AF transition in the 3D Heisenberg universality class, for which we expect \( S_{AF}(Q)/N \) to scale with the system size as \( L^{-1-\eta} \) at the critical temperature, with \( \eta = 0.0375 \) being the order parameter anomalous dimension [85]. However, in previous studies of the 3D Heisenberg model, only the \( L \geq 10 \) data show the expected scaling [86], which is difficult for the DQMC method to access. The spin-wave theory predicts that the spin-spin correlation function varies as the inverse of the distance, and the leading correction is \(-1/L\) [87–89]. However, with the limited lattice sizes, we find the data are best fit by a quadratic polynomial in \( 1/N \) [90], i.e., \( f(N) = \alpha/N + \beta/N^2 + \cdots \), with \( \alpha, \beta \) being the fitting coefficients [see Fig. 6(a)]. While the extrapolated values in Fig. 6(b) clearly demonstrate the existence of the critical interactions and temperatures, the critical values can be only qualitatively estimated. \( T_c \) first increases as \( U \) is enlarged. Then the curve
of \( T_c \) for \( U/t = 12 \) falls below that for \( U/t = 10 \) near the transition, indicating the decrease of the critical temperature thereafter. Such a behavior is consistent with a dome region of the AF order in the phase diagram.

The critical values can be determined more precisely by the crossing of the scaled \( S_{AF} / L^{1/\nu} \) with the universal critical exponents. The finite-temperature transition belongs to the Wilson-Fisher O(3) universality class, describing the ferromagnetic transition in the 3D classical Heisenberg model [91,92]. The critical exponents of the O(3) model from Borel summation of the \( \epsilon \) expansion give \( \gamma = 1.3820 \) and \( \nu = 0.7045 \) [85]. The best data collapse occurs at \( T_c/t = 0.36 \) for \( U/t = 10 \), which is consistent with the crossing of \( S_{AF}(Q)/L^{1/\nu} \) in Fig. 7(a). We further verify the critical values from the crossings of invariant correlation ratio [22,23,93,94],

\[
R_c = 1 - \frac{S_{AF}(Q + \delta q)}{S_{AF}(Q)}, \quad (18)
\]

where \( \delta q \) points to a nearest-neighbor momentum in the Brillouin zone. In the presence (absence) of long-range order, we have \( S_{AF}(Q + \delta q) \to 0(S_{AF}(Q)) \), and thus, \( R_c \to 1(0) \). At the critical point, the use of \( R_c \) is advantageous as it has smaller scaling corrections than \( S_{AF}(Q) \) itself. Since \( R_c \) has no scaling dimension, we collapse the data with the scaling form \( F_{R_c}[L^{1/\nu}(U - U_c)] \). As shown in Fig. 7(d), the best scaling collapse on the interval \([-4, 4]\) gives the critical temperature \( T_c/t = 0.36 \) consistent with the previously determined one. It is noted that \( T_c \) obtained by the universal scaling qualitatively matches that obtained by finite-size extrapolation.

A similar analysis for other values of \( U \) yields the phase boundary in Fig. 8. The AF dome shifts to the right, in contrast to the normal cubic lattice. \( T_c \) exhibits a maximum at \( U/t \sim 10 \), reflecting the competition between the growth of the local moment and a reduction of the AF coupling with \( U \). The local moment \( m_s^2 = \langle (n_{\uparrow} - n_{\downarrow})^2 \rangle = 1 - 2\langle n_{\uparrow}n_{\downarrow} \rangle \) at half filling. The double occupancy is suppressed by the interaction, resulting in the growth of \( m_s^2 \) and thus the AF order parameter \( S_{AF}(Q) \) with \( U \). While such a behavior can be understood in terms of the mean-field theory, the virtual transitions between spin-up and -down states by a second-order hopping process are omitted therein, causing \( T_c \) to keep growing artificially in the strong-coupling region. In fact, the AF coupling, which is \( \sim t^2/U \), becomes dominant in determining the reduction of \( T_c \) at large \( U \).

Besides the Néel temperatures of thermal phase transitions, by contrast with both the Heisenberg model and the Hubbard model on the normal cubic lattice, there is also a quantum critical point at \( U = U_c \) in the ground state, which is described by the Gross-Neveu universality class in \((3+1)\) dimensions. The correlation length exponent is the mean-field one, i.e., \( \nu = 1/2 \). Since the dynamic critical exponent \( z = 1 \) due to the emergent Lorentz symmetry, we take \( \beta t = L \) to see the quantum critical scaling. The critical interaction is estimated from the intersection in Fig. 9(a), which is \( U_c/t = 6.1 \). The mean-field critical exponent provides a good universal scaling.
collapse of the correlation ratio, further verifying the nature of the quantum phase transition [see Fig. 9(b)].

Finally, we turn to the case of 3D birefringent Dirac fermions. Figure 10 shows $S_{\text{AF}}$ on an $N = 8^3$ lattice for different $\alpha$ as a function $U$ at $T/t = 0.2$. At the $\pi$-flux point ($\alpha = 0$), we have obtained the critical interaction $U_c/t = 6.51$ for the semimetal-AF insulator transition at $T/t = 0.2$. The curves of $S_{\text{AF}}$ are significantly shifted to the left as we increase $\alpha$, which suggests a decrease of $U_c$ with $\alpha$ and is consistent with the mean-field analysis. Meanwhile, the maximum value of $S_{\text{AF}}$ drops quickly, and the one at $\alpha = 0.4$ already becomes about $\sim 1/3$ of that at $\alpha = 0$. These observations imply that the temperature $T/t = 0.2$ is much closer to $T_N$ for large $\alpha$, and thus, the Néel temperature decreases with increasing $\alpha$. We determine the phase boundary for the AF order at $\alpha = 0.1$. As shown in Fig. 8, the dome moves to the left, and its peak goes down, consistent with the above observations. Intuitively, the decrease of the Néel temperature might be associated with the exchange couplings on the modulated bonds, being increasingly weakened, and indeed is finally completely depleted from the lattice at $\alpha = 1$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The interaction-driven AF transitions of 3D Dirac fermions were investigated based on the $\pi$-flux model on a cubic lattice using DQMC simulations. We found the AF order occurs only above a finite critical interaction. While the thermal phase transitions belong to the 3D Heisenberg universality class, the critical behavior for the quantum critical point is consistent with the (3+1)-dimensional Gross-Neveu universality. The critical interaction strength and temperatures were evaluated by finite-size scaling of the spin structure factor, and the phase diagram in the $(U, T)$ plane was mapped. It was found that while the critical interaction of the AF transition changes from $U_c/t = 0$ of the normal cubic lattice to $U_c/t = 6.1$ for the $\pi$-flux lattice, the interaction where $T_N$ is largest here becomes only a bit larger than that of the cubic lattice. We further studied correlation effects in a birefringent Dirac fermion system and quantified the effect of the velocity on the critical interaction strength.

Our findings unambiguously uncovered correlation effects in 3D Dirac fermions. The 3D Hubbard model was readily emulated using ultracold atoms in an optical lattice [95]. There have been several successful methods to generate strong artificial magnetic fields, such as Raman assisted hoppings and rotating the gases [96,97]. It is very possible the strong magnetic field along the $(1,1,1)$ direction needed for the $\pi$-flux model could be synthesized based on the cubic optical lattice. With state-of-the-art measurement techniques, our results may be verified experimentally.
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